

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

**CRM-M-39739 of 2014  
Date of Decision: 19.02.2015**

**Harveer and others**

**---Petitioners**

**versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**---Respondents**

**Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal**

**Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioners**

**Mr. Rajesh Faur, Addl. A.G.Haryana  
for the respondent-State**

**\*\*\***

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**\*\*\***

**REKHA MITTAL, J.**

The petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No. 531 dated 17.10.2014 for offence under Sections 323, 324, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short "IPC") (Section 326 IPC added later) registered at Police Station, City Palwal, District Palwal on the basis of compromise.

Reply by way of affidavit of Suresh Chand, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Railways (H), Ambala Cantt. Filed on behalf of respondent No. 1, is taken on record.

The parties were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaqa

Magistrate on 19.12.2014 to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

A report has been submitted by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Palwal wherein it has been reported that statements of the petitioners and respondent No.2(complainant) have been recorded and statements made by the parties in the Court reveal that they have voluntarily entered into a compromise with an intention to live in peace and harmony.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that injury constituting offence under Section 326 IPC is on non-vital part of the body i.e. left hand.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G.Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. He has not disputed correctness of assertions of the petitioners and the fact that the matter has been settled by way of compromise between the parties.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the case file.

There is nothing on record to doubt correctness of the compromise effected between the parties, whereby they have decided to settle their dispute with an intention to live in peace and harmony. The present case falls in the category of cases, which can be allowed to be settled by way of compromise, in view of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543.***

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 531 dated 17.10.2014 for offence under Sections 323, 324, 506 read with Section 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later) registered

at Police Station, City Palwal, District Palwal and proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.

**(REKHA MITTAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**19.02.2015**

PARAMJIT