

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

123

Crl.Misc.No.M-39572 of 2015

Date of Decision:26.11.2015

Dalip Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

**Present: Mr.Narinder Kumar Vadehra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are being harassed by the police by calling them in the police station at odd hours. Earlier, petitioner No.1 was forcibly picked up by the local police without disclosing any reason. Criminal Writ Petition No.1439 of 2013 was filed by petitioner No.2 before this Court for his release and petitioner No.1 thereafter was released from the custody of the police and the aforesaid petition was dismissed as withdrawn as the same has become infructuous. Earlier, false cases were registered against petitioner No.3, wherein, in almost all the cases, he has been acquitted of the charge by the trial Court and at present, there is no case pending against the petitioners.

Learned counsel also submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to the respondents to issue one week prior notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C., in case, the petitioners are required in any case/complaint filed against them.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners that no complaint or case is pending against them, a direction is issued to the respondents that in case, the petitioners are required in reference to any complaint or case, an advance notice of one week be issued to them under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 26, 2015
seema