

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl.Misc.No.M-39667 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 19.3.2015

Savitri and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present : Mr.Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petitioners are mother-in-law, brother-in-law and sister-in-law of the complainant who have been accused of retaining dowry articles and meting out cruelty to the complainant. The dispute has essentially no other colour except matrimonial and noticing this the court on 20.11.2014 had granted the benefit of interim protection to the petitioners subject to compliance of provisions of Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., pursuant to which the petitioners have since joined the investigation, a fact not disputed by the complainant or the counsel appearing for the State.

Having regard to the aforesaid, when the petitioners have joined the investigation to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer and noticing the fact that the petitioners are relations of the husband of the complainant as also the tendency of the complainant to aggravate the offence and the allegations by implicating as many relations as possible, I deem it appropriate to make the interim directions dated 20.11.2014 absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners continue to comply with the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

19.3.2015

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

dss