

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39449-2015
Date of Decision : 11.12.2015**

Jagjit Singh @ Jaggi

.....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.AG, Punjab.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the memo of parties name of the father of the petitioner has been wrongly mentioned as Sohan Singh whereas it should be Mohan Singh. He prays for making the correction in the memo of parties. He is allowed to do so wherever necessary.

This is a petition for regular bail filed in case bearing FIR No.1 dated 01.01.2014, under sections 18/22 of NDPS Act registered at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana City.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been in custody since 15.01.2015 and regular bail to co-accused Inderjit Singh @ Lali was granted in CRM-M-22245-2015 decided on 15.10.2015 in the following terms :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per the FIR the petitioner was arrested from the car from

which weapons and contraband was recovered. As per learned counsel the story is riddled with suspicious circumstances. Apart therefrom while appearing as witness ASI Jatinder Singh has stated that at the time of search there was no body in the car. The petitioner has been in custody for almost 11 months. Learned Addl. AG, on instructions from ASI Amarjit Kaur, has accepted these factual assertions.

In these circumstances I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of bail to the present petitioner in view of the fact that a doubt has been cast upon the story which has come out in the FIR. Let him be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present case is identical. Learned Addl. A.G., on instructions from ASI Taranjit Singh has accepted that the cases of both the petitioners are on the similar footing.

In these circumstances without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the period of custody, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of bail to the petitioner. Let him be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

11.12.2015
Pooja Sharma-I