

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39322-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:30.11.2015

Sudesh Kumar ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Dr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

This is a petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail to petitioner in a case registered against him vide FIR No.55 dated 29.04.2014 under Sections 420/409/467/468/471/120-B IPC at Police Station Khizrabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no direct role has been attributed to the petitioner. Co-accused had already been enlarged on bail. Thus, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody during investigation/trial.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for bail. According to him, petitioner committed embezzlement in connivance of co-accused Madan Lal.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. Petitioner is stated to be in custody since October 19,2015.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the considered view that no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner any longer. The trial may take long time to

conclude. Thus, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar.

30.11.2015
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE