

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-39411 of 2014
Date of decision : 06.07.2015**

Azad @ Azad Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Kr. Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.176 dated 13.10.2012 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 323, 506 IPC, Police Station Julana, District Jind and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Petitioner No.1 is facing trial before the Juvenile Justice Board, Jind whereas the petitioners No.2 & 3 are facing trial before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jind.

Report has been received from the trial Court as well as Juvenile Justice Board, after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court & Juvenile Justice Board have reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any

pressure or coercion. The trial Court & Juvenile Justice Board have also sent statements of parties and the compromise deed.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused/juvenile and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings pending before the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jind and Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Jind conducted on the basis thereof are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

06.07.2015
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE