

**253 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-39393 of 2014

Date of Decision: January 19, 2015

Lalit Bhardwaj and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of the FIR No.103, dated 27.08.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 379 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Phagwara City, District Kapurthala, on the basis of compromise dated 04.09.2014 (Annexure P-1).

Report of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phagwara, has been received. The learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phagwara has recorded the statements of the parties and reported to this Court that the compromise effected between the parties is voluntarily and without any pressure.

A perusal of the FIR shows that there are allegations of causing simple injuries and theft. The case is at the stage of investigation.

Since the complainant has amicably settled the dispute with the accused-petitioners, therefore, the FIR in question along with consequential proceedings stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

January 19, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE