

CRM-M-39148-2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.11.2015

Rinku

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, D.A.G., Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is an application for anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 294 dated 02.07.2015, registered under Sections 147, 148, 323, 341 IPC and added Section 207 IPC at Police Station Kherki Daula, District Gurgaon.

On 19.11.2015 the following contention was noted :-

“Learned counsel states that the petitioner has been exculpated by the witnesses.”

Learned DAG has accepted that the eye witnesses have not named the petitioner.

In the circumstances I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of bail to the present petitioner. In the event

of arrest of petitioner, he shall be released on bail by the investigating officer to his satisfaction subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any, stands disposed of.

November 27, 2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**