

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-41935 of 2013

Date of Decision: February 23, 2015

Thuru Singh @ Thuru Ram and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Bharat Bhushan, respondent No.2 in person.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 for the quashing of the FIR No.5, dated 07.02.2012, under Sections 447, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Pathankot, District Gurdaspur along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.09.2013 (Annexure P-2).

Report of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot has been received. After recording the statements of the petitioners-accused as well as well as complainant Bharat Bhushan, learned

Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot, reported that the parties have entered into a compromise and the same seems to be genuine for bringing peace and harmony.

As the parties have entered into a compromise, the FIR in question along with all the consequential proceedings stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

February 23, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE