

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.39201 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.04.2015

Vijay Somany

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is to direct respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members.

The latest representation made by the petitioner with regard to the threat to his life and liberty is Annexure P-7.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation Annexure P-7 and take appropriate steps, in accordance with law.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Birender Singh states that untraced report in FIR No.21 dated 21.01.2013 (Annexure P-3) was prepared in November, 2013, but the same is still not filed in the Court.

It is directed that the matter be expedited and respondent No.2 would finalise the same within two months.

07.04.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge