

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-39071 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.12.2015

Inderjeet

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana  
assisted by ASI Maha Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.176, dated 16.04.2015, registered under Sections 307, 34 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonapat.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The main allegations are against co-accused Dinesh from whom, the fire arm allegedly used in the crime was recovered. The petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner is in custody since 28.04.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

prayer of bail and states that the recovery of motorcycle was effected from the petitioner. The challan stands presented; charges have been framed and out of total 19 PWs, two have been examined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is a young boy of 23 years and the fact that out of total 19 witnesses, only two witnesses have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.12.2015

*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**