

CRM-M-39070-2015

Date of Decision :26.11.2015

Prem

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for
Mr. Yogesh Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is an application for regular bail in case bearing FIR
No. 127 dated 04.11.2005, registered under Sections 302/307/148/149/216
IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Garhi, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has
been in custody for the last three years and the complainant and the eye
witnesses have not supported the prosecution version qua him. Learned
AAG states that the petitioner was earlier a proclaimed offender but accepts
the fact that the complainant and the eye witnesses have not supported the
prosecution version qua the petitioner in Court.

In the circumstances, without commenting anything on the
merits of the case and keeping in view the period of custody, I do not deem
it appropriate to deny the concession of bail to the present petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal
Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 26, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE