

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

258

Crl. Misc. No.M-389 of 2015
Date of Decision: 16th February, 2015

Kulwinder Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms. Sushma Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Somnath submits that the private respondents have made a statement that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and the petitioners have no threat at their instance.

In view of the submission made by learned State counsel, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

16.02.2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE