

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38882-2015

Date of Decision: November 18, 2015

Arvinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. G.S. Virk, Advocate,
for Mr. G.S. Nagra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel submits that he has instructions to state at the bar that the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent No. 3 – Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner.

In view of above, present petition is disposed of with a

direction to respondent No. 3 – Commissioner of Police, Amritsar
to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) within two months of
receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

November 18, 2015
Pkapoor