

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-38933 of 2014

Date of decision: 21.04.2015

Gurmeet Kaur

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab & ors.

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Jatin Khurana, Advocate for
Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Mirpur, Advocate
for respondent no.4.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to the respondent-authorities to conduct fair, impartial and proper investigation in the matter and not to harass and humiliate the petitioner and her family members by calling them to the police station repeatedly.

On notice of motion having been issued to the respondents, reply by way of affidavit of Surinder Kumar, PPS, DSP, Sub Division Sardulgarh, Distt. Mansa, on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2, has been filed, which shows that on 27.9.2014, there was a dispute between the petitioner and respondent no.4. However, the same was got compromised with the intervention of respectable people of the village and panchayat. Copy of compromise dated 27.9.2014 has been placed on record as Annexure R-1, which shows that the petitioner Gurmeet Kaur is in the habit of filing false applications against Harwinder Pal Singh, so that he may be harassed and humiliated. There is no substance in such complaints. Petitioner is stated to have filed another application regarding the occurrence of 1.10.2014 to DSP, Sardulgarh and on 26.10.2014, wherein the statements of the petitioner and respondent no.4 along with other respectable people of the village were recorded and it was found that the dispute dated 27.9.2014 was compromised.

Petitioner is stated to have filed another application dated 27.10.2014 to SSP, Sardulgarh on the same occurrence on same ground and the matter was enquired and during enquiry, the statement of the petitioner and joint statement of villagers were recorded and it was found that there is no force in the application of the petitioner and she is in the habit of filing such false complaints.

In view of the stand taken by the respondents, the present petition is dismissed, as the repeated applications filed by the complainant are without any basis.

April 21, 2015
ak

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE