

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-38833 of 2015****Date of decision: November 18, 2015**

Vimal Kumar alias Bimal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA**Present:** Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.***********SABINA, J**

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.192 dated 21.10.2006, under Sections 304-A, 279, 427, 337 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar, Pathankot, District Gurdaspur (now Pathankot).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that parties have amicably settled their dispute. Due to inadvertence, petitioner could not appear before the Appellate Court on 25.09.2015. Petitioner has filed petition in this Court seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise. Learned counsel has further submitted that the absence of the petitioner before the Appellate Court was neither intentional nor *malafide*.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction that in case, petitioner surrenders before the Appellate Court within two weeks from today, he be released on bail, subject to the satisfaction of the Appellate Court.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE****November 18, 2015**
mahavir