

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3882 of 2015

Date of decision: 21st December, 2015

Danish Akhtar Ali @ Rahul

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Brar, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Complainant James Shergill son of Gurnam Das has come present personally and has been identified by ASI Taranjit Singh, Police Station Focal Point, Ludhiana. The complainant has stated that since his daughter Meenu Rani respondent No.2 has solemnized marriage with petitioner Danish Akhtar Ali @ Rahul son of Mohammad Shahed on her own and that she is a major and that the parties have since effected compromise and he has no objection if the matter is allowed to be compounded on the basis of this settlement and has lend credence to his affidavit which has been placed on record by him.

Report dated 03.06.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Ludhiana has been received whereby after recording

statements of respondent No.2 Meenu Rani and the petitioner namely Danish Akhtar Ali @ Rahul, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the affidavit filed on behalf of the complainant who has come present, being a pure case of run away couple who have solemnized marriage, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.36 dated 23.01.2015 registered at Police Station Focal Point, Ludhiana under Sections 363/366A IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 21, 2015
rps