

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**Criminal Misc. No.1420 of 2015 in/and
Criminal Misc.No.M-38917 of 2014 (O&M)**

Date of decision: 15th January, 2015

Gurdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Criminal Misc. No.1420 of 2015

Application is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Criminal Misc.No.M-38917 of 2014 (O&M)

Petitioner Gurdev Singh has preferred this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for issuance of direction to official respondents to investigate and take appropriate action on the representations (Annexures P-4 and P-5) to perform the statutory duty as enshrined under the Code of Criminal Procedure and further for issuance of direction to official respondents to register criminal case/FIR under Section 420 IPC against respondent No.14.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

On perusal of the petition, I find that firstly no relief has been claimed against the respondent Nos.6 to 13 and nothing has been pointed out as to why Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Tehsil Zira, District Ferozepur has been made out as party-respondent

Criminal Misc. No.1420 of 2015 in/and

Criminal Misc.No.M-38917 of 2014 (O&M) -2-

No.10 and as to what allegations are against the other respondents.

The only grievance is against respondent No.14 for registration of FIR. As per ***Sakiri Vasu v. State of U.P. and others, 2008 (1) R.C.R. (Cr.) 392***, petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. could not be entertained and the petitioner has alternative remedies which are available to him by filing criminal complaint or application under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. etc.

Therefore, from the aforesaid discussion, I do not find any merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

15th January, 2015
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE