

**244 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-38911 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 12, 2015

Pardeep Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Daaria, Advocate for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 for the quashing of the FIR No.35, dated 16.02.2010, under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC), which later on was converted into offence under Section 304-A IPC vide Daily Dairy Rapat No.29, dated 22.02.2010, registered at Police Station Mansa City, District Mansa, along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 30.10.2014 (Annexure P-3).

Report of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Mansa, has been received. The statement of complainant-respondent No.2

as well as the petitioner-accused was recorded. It has been reported that the parties have entered into a compromise. The offence is under Section 304-A IPC.

Learned State counsel, on instruction from ASI Raj Singh, states that in this case, two witnesses have been examined and they have supported the prosecution case.

As such, the present petition on the basis of compromise is dismissed.

February 12, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE