

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-388 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.05.2015

Rajinder Singh @ Bittu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

- 1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?***

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.T.N. Sarup, Addl. AG, Punjab
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for quashing FIR No.33 dated 06.10.2016 under Section 420 IPC, Police Station City Rupnagar, District Rupnagar on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

The petitioner was convicted of the offence under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code and the appeal against conviction and sentence is pending. The instant petition was filed on the basis of

compromise.

The parties were directed to appear before the appellate Court for recording their statements on the compromise and learned appellate Court has sent report alongwith statements of parties and copy of the compromise. It is reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

The offence under Section 420 IPC is otherwise compoundable at the instance of the complainant and after conviction with the permission of the Court.

The petitioner has even deposited an amount of ₹ 30,000/- with the State Legal Services Authority, Punjab on 24.04.2015. Receipt has been handed over and the same be placed on record.

In view of the compromise and deposit of the aforesaid amount, the instant petition is allowed and offence is permitted to be compounded resulting into acquittal of the petitioner.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

15.05.2015
sk