

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38809-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.01.2015

Suresh Mahamna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Veena Kumari, Advocate,
and Ms. Monika, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Subhash.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.), has been filed seeking pre-arrest bail in FIR No.344 dated 28.09.2014, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Suraj Kund, Distt. Faridabad.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the father-in-law of the complainant. The allegations in the complaint are vague and general in nature. There is no specific averment with regard to entrustment of dowry articles. The learned

counsel further states that the petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of the order passed by this Court on 12.12.2014.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, the recovery has already been effected and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 12.12.2014, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

12.01.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE.