

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.38764 of 2014

Shankar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

2. CRM-M No.10321 of 2014 (O&M)

Ashok Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

Date of decision: 5th August, 2015

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. M.S. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M No.38764 of 2014; and
respondents No.3 to 9 in CRM-M No.10321 of 2014.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. R.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioners CRM-M No.10321 of 2014; and
for respondents No.3 to 6 in CRM-M No.38764 of 2014.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Both the above detailed petitions filed for quashing of one and the same FIR, being a case of version and cross-version, are being disposed off together.

Report dated 14.07.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Palwal has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Ashok Kumar and injured as well as accused persons namely Ashok Kumar, Roop Singh, Tara Chand, Bir Singh, Tek Chand, Chander Bhan @ Bhura, Karan Singh, Deen Dayal, Natholi, Kuwar @ Kunwar Pal, Devi Ram, Shankar, Pohap Singh, Ajit, Khubiram, Damodar, Smt.Jhallar, Oman @ Uma, Bhudev, Babli, Manish Kumar and Jal Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure property dispute inter-se the co-villagers from the same tribe and keeping in view the nature of offences for which the accused have been hauled up, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences in bringing about peace and harmony and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petitions is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.158 dated 04.06.2007 registered at Police Station Sadar Palwal under Sections 323/324/148/149/325/326/452/427/506/34 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

Both the present petitions stand allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 5, 2015

rps