

CRM-M-38605-2015

Date of Decision :26.11.2015

Rahul

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vinod Arya, Advocate for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is an application for regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 884 dated 18.10.2013, registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as regards accused-Gaurav against whom there were allegations of having illegally obtained Rs.42 lacs, bail was granted vide order Annexure P-3 after he had undergone 01 year and 04 months custody. As regards the petitioner, the allegations against him are that he had illegally obtained Rs.22 lacs and he has been in custody for 8 months otherwise the case of both the petitioners is similar. Learned counsel for the complainant and learned AAG have accepted these factual assertions. Learned counsel for the complainant,

however, argues that of course, the individual attribution to each of the petitioner may not be so much but otherwise collectively they have defrauded the complainant of Rs.1.2 crores.

Be that as it may, keeping in view all the above mentioned facts, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of bail to the present petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 26, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE