

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-38542 of 2015

Date of decision: 20.11.2015

Balraj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Santokh Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.136, dated 20.09.2015, registered under Sections 363, 366-A and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Batala.

The learned counsel refers to Annexure P-1, the protection petition filed by Manveer Kaur along with the present petitioner and contends that the said Manveer Kaur has solemnized marriage with the petitioner. All the allegations levelled in the FIR are vague in nature.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

prayer of bail and states that the investigation is still in progress.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner along with said Manveer Kaur had approached this Court for protection, vide Annexure P-1 and the fact that the challan has not been filed so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.11.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE