

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38595-2014(O&M)  
Date of Decision :06.01.2015**

**RAJESH KUMAR  
VS**

**....PETITIONER**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**....RESPONDENT**

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr.G.S.Kaura, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

- i) Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition for regular bail in cross case bearing DDR No. 11 dated 29.06.2013, under Sections 323/325/341/307/34 IPC registered in FIR No.111 dated 27.06.2013, under Sections 323/341/34 IPC, at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that this is a cross version case and the invocation of Section 307 IPC is not free from doubt and the petitioner has been in custody for more than five months. Learned counsel for the complainant as well as learned AAG, on instructions from HC Balwinder Singh, have accepted the factual assertion though they have vehemently argued that the invocation of Section 307 IPC is clearly made out.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the facts that this is a cross

case and the petitioner has been in custody for five months and at one stage one board of doctors had opined that the injury was not dangerous to life, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 06 , 2015**  
**sunita**