

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-3854 of 2014
Date of Decision 06.01.2015**

Kulwant Kaur and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S BEDI

Present: Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners, married sister-in-law and her husband are accused in a case registered at the instance of complainant Sukhjant Singh, father of Gurpreet Kaur regarding demand of dowry and harassment etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioners vehemently submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are vague and malafide. The petitioners have not been residing in the house of the victim and have been unnecessarily roped in to harass the petitioners.

Since the copy of the entire report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C is not available, it will not be appropriate for this Court to express any opinion whether any offence is made out against the petitioners or not. Charges have already been framed against the petitioners.

I am of the considered opinion that the ends of justice would be adequately met in case the personal appearance of the petitioners is exempted before the trial Court with liberty to the petitioners to raise all the

pleas taken up in this petition before the trial Court at opportune time.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners move application for exemption from personal appearance, the same will be allowed subject to any condition imposed by the trial Court. It will be open to the trial Court to record the evidence as per provision under Section 273 Cr.P.C in presence of the counsel of the petitioners.

06.01.2015

harsha

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE