

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38435 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : 19.11.2015

Jetha Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana
assisted with ASI Pawan Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in case FIR No.193 dated 14.07.2015, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') and Section 61 (1) of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, at Police Station Salhawas, District Jhajjar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2015 and the challan stands

presented. The petitioner is a driver and was instructed by the employer to deliver the goods. He further states that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail and states that the charges are yet to be framed. However, he admits the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the fact that the challan stands presented and the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

In view of above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

19.11.2015

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**