

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38505 of 2014 (O&M).

Decided on:-August 14, 2015.

Balwinder Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner Balwinder Singh son of Ajit Singh has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to take appropriate action against respondents No.4 and 5 who are making attempt to forcibly take away the vehicle (truck bearing registration No.PB-11AU-8713) of the petitioner with the help of recovery agents.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurbachan Singh, submits that, in fact, the petitioner has paid the loan amount and has suffered a statement to the police that the petitioner has taken the aforesaid vehicle on loan from Tata Motor Finance Company, Patiala and has cleared the loan amount. The petitioner has further stated that at present, there is no transaction with Tata Motor Finance Company as the entire loan amount has already been cleared.

Learned counsel for the State has also placed on record copy of the statement dated 5.7.2015 along with its vernacular made by petitioner Balwinder Singh in this regard, which is taken on record.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

August 14, 2015
'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE