

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.38477 of 2014
Date of Decision : 15.01.2015**

Lal Money Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 21.03.2014 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, whereby the charge has been framed, and against the order dated 10.10.2014 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge (FTC), Patiala upholding the decision given by the trial Court.

Learned counsel has argued that there is no material on record which may show the involvement of the petitioner and merely because one Inspector stated that there seems to be conspiracy is not enough to frame the charge, more so when that particular officer has not even been cited as witness nor his inquiry report is on record.

Both the Courts below considered these arguments and have held that at the stage of framing of charge only the prima-facie case has to be seen and the entire evidence need not to be discussed. I see no reason to take a different view to that taken by the Courts below.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 15, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**