

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

-. -

Date of Decision: 02.02.2015

CRM M 38398 of 2014

Basanti

---Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab

---Respondent

CRM M 38400 of 2014

Kalawanti alias Kalan

---Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Gurinderjeet Singh, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

CRM 2645 of 2015 in CRM M 38398 of 2014

Allowed as prayed for. Statement suffered by prosecutrix
Reena before trial Court is taken on record.

Main case

This order shall dispose of aforementioned petitions as these
are the offshoot of FIR No. 98 dated 29.08.2014 for offence punishable
under Sections 363, 366-A, 376 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and

Sections 6, 17, and 21 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act registered in Police Station Bhagwantpur, Tehsil and District Rupnagar.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the case is pending in trial before the Court of Sessions and the prosecutrix has already been examined. The prosecutrix, in her statement before the Court, has given a clean chit to the present petitioners and deposed that she performed marriage with Vijay according to her consent. It is further submitted that the petitioners are in custody since 03.09.2014 and they are ready to face proceedings in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State has not disputed factual assertions.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the records.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, bail to petitioners Basanti and Kalawanti alias Kalan on their furnishing personal/surety bonds subject to satisfaction of the learned trial Court. However, they shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) they shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
- (ii) they shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

Xerox copy of the order be placed on the connected file.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

02.02.2015

mohan