

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CP No.236 of 2003 In
CP No.230 of 2000
Date of decision : 12.05.2015
...Petitioner

M/s R.P. Spintex Ltd.

Versus

Sh. Vijendar Kumar Aggarwal and ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate with
Mr. M. Jayakumar, Official Liquidator.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Official Liquidator had filed CP No.236 of 2003 in CP No.230 of 2000 under Section 454(5) of the Companies Act, 1956 read with Rules 125 and 132 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959 prior to the filing of CP No.145 of 2010 in CP No.230 of 2000 which has also been filed under Sections 454 read with Rule 125, 127 and 132 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959.

Since new subsequent petition under Sections 454 read with Rule 125, 127 and 132 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959 has been filed, there would be no cause surviving in the earlier petition filed on the same cause of action.

Disposed of as infructuous.

12.05.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**