

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-38233 of 2015
Date of Decision:-08.12.2015**

Vijayeta Panchal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Sajjan Singh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Vijayeta Panchal, who is daughter of respondent No.4- Naresh Kumar has filed the present petition for issuance of direction to the respondents No.1 and 2 to protect her life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.3 to 9.

On notice of motion having been issued for today, respondent No.4, who is father of the petitioner, is present in Court. He is identified by learned counsel for the petitioner on further identification by the petitioner Vijayeta Panchal.

Learned State counsel has placed on record the copy of

statement of respondent No.4 to the effect that respondent No.4 or his family shall not create any hinderence in the carrier of the petitioner, who has passed her LLB course and intends to pursue legal practice. Respondent No.4 further states that the petitioner is at liberty to stay with her elder sister, namely, Nitesh w/o Anil Kumar, r/o House No.3202, Urban Estate, Jind (Haryana), who is also present in Court.

In view of the fact that respondent No.4 has made categoric statement that he or his family would not restrict the petitioner to pursue legal profession or other educational activity, the present petition is disposed of as such.

December 08, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE