

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.38307 of 2014

Date of decision: 22nd April, 2015

Pardeep Kumar and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. S.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Brar, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 06.04.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Pathankot has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Jyoti Bala and accused persons namely Pardeep Kumar and Vijay Kumari, the Court has shown its satisfaction as per the report that the compromise so effected between the parties is not on account of any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

Since it is a pure matrimonial dispute, in the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences between the parties for betterment of their future, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.133 dated 17.09.2014 registered at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot, District Gurdaspur under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC and all consequences arising thereof are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 22, 2015
rps