

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M- 7092 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.10.2015**

Vinod Tayal and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Advocate
for LR's of respondent no.3 (Jasmohan Singh).

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

CRM No.35613 of 2015

CRM No.35808 of 2015

CRM No.35738 of 2015

For the reasons set out in the application, same are allowed as prayed for and accompanying documents Annexures P-18, Annexure P-19, Annexure R-2/1 and Annexure R-3/45 are allowed to be taken on record.

Crl. Misc. No.M- 7092 of 2011

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.607 dated 21.12.2007 registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 &

120-B IPC, Police Station Civil Lines Hisar, District Haryana and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same.

It is pertinent to mention here that, during the pendency of present petition, respondent no.3 Jasmohan Singh died and his legal heirs were impleaded as party to the present petition.

During the pendency of the present petition, it is claimed that the parties have entered into compromise with the intervention of respectable persons. Affidavits of parties (Annexures P-18, Annexure P-19, Annexure R-2/1 & Annexure R-3/45), reiterating the factum of compromise, have been placed on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has urged that now good sense had prevailed and both the parties have decided to settle the dispute by entering into a compromise and affidavits of complainant Darshan Singh Virk and Jaskaran Singh son/legal heir of respondent no.3-Jasmohan Singh (since deceased) as well as petitioners Vinod Tayal & Vineet Tayal have been filed, wherein the factum of the parties having entered into compromise is reiterated. It is prayed that in view of the compromise, the FIR and further proceedings may be quashed.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant states that the complainant side has no objection if the petitioners are acquitted.

In the instant case, the parties have put an end to their grievance and have settled the dispute and attempt has been made to promote peace and harmony amongst themselves. Since

the parties have amicably settled their dispute, there is no legal impediment in granting permission to them to compound the offence. In view of the compromise and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

30.10.2015
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE