

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.38233 of 2014

Date of decision: 13th May, 2015

Mahender Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vishwash Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 28.11.2014 of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Rohtak has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Anita Devi and the accused Mahender Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise Mark 'C' is an outcome of voluntariness, free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure whereby husband and the wife

have resolved their dispute and have started living together after compromise.

Heard.

Keeping in view that being a pure matrimonial dispute this compromise has gone a long way in bringing about both parties together who are young and for betterment of their future matrimonial lives and in the light of law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in '**Sube Singh and another v. State of Haryana and another**' **2013(4) RCR (Criminal) 102**, the judgment of conviction dated 11.01.2013 convicting the present petitioner is hereby set aside along with all consequences thereof.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 13, 2015
rps