

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-37903 of 2015**

Date of Decision: 05.11.2015

Preety Arora

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

The grouse of the petitioner in the present petition is that the amount was given by way of cheque to father of respondents No.4 to 6 for sending her abroad. Thereafter, the amount was returned by way of cheque by the father of respondents No.4 to 6. The signatory of the cheque died but respondents No.4 to 6 gave an assurance to return the amount. The petitioner is being threatened as the amount paid to father of private respondents has not been returned and she is asking for the amount. The petitioner has made representation to respondent No.3 and a copy thereof has been sent to respondent No.2 as well.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to respondents No.2 and 3 to take action on the representation.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and

keeping in view the limited prayer, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to consider the representation, which is already pending and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

05.11.2015  
**gurpreet**

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE