

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M- 37884 of 2015

Date of Decision:-19.11.2015

Arjun Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. J.S. Moudgill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.45, dated 12.03.2015, for offence under Sections 353, 186, 506, 509 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station, City Sunam, Distt. Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 30.07.2015 and the only allegation against the petitioner is that he had threatened the lady constable, namely, Gagandeep Kaur, standing outside the Baskhi Khana. Learned counsel further submits that in the case in hand, co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Raman Dhingra has already been granted regular bail by learned trial Court on the similar allegation. Therefore, the petitioner deserves the concession of grant of regular bail.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from, Head Constable-Jagseer Singh, submits that there are number of cases registered

against the petitioner for offence punishable under Section 380 IPC, which are pending in the Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Taking into consideration the fact that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he had threatened the lady constable, namely, Gagandeep Kaur, standing outside the Baskhi Khana and the fact that the trial will take sufficient long time to conclude, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition for grant of regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner is found involved in any such case in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

It is also made clear that expression made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression on the merit of the case and the trial Court shall be at liberty to decide the case on the basis of available evidence.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

November 19, 2015
Anjal