

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40663 of 2013
Date of Decision: 26.03.2015**

Naveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

R.P. NAGRATH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court in a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.820 dated 18.12.2012, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, P.S. Jind City and all the consequent proceedings arising thereof and further staying the proceedings pending before the trial Court. The challan against the petitioner has since been presented before the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently contends that there is nothing against the petitioner in the FIR or any material collected during the course of investigation. If that be so, petitioner would be able to raise the relevant contentions before the trial Court, at the stage of hearing on the question of charge and the trial Court would obviously look into the aforesaid facts and pass the appropriate orders, and if required to briefly deal with the aforesaid contentions.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to

seek appropriate remedy if the adverse order on the question of charges framed.

Disposed of with abovesaid liberty.

March 26, 2015
harsha/amit

(R.P.NAGRATH)
JUDGE