

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.3781 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 30, 2015

AVTAR KRISHAN @ JYOTI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-4810-2015

SUKHMINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ANJU ARORA

...Respondent

3. CRM-M-9406-2015

CHARANPREET SINGH @ CHEEMA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harkeerat Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

These petitions have been filed under Section 438
Cr.P.C for release of the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest in case
FIR No.223 dated 03.12.2014 registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon,
District Ludhiana, under Sections 382, 323, 341, 294, 506, 148, 149 of IPC.

There are serious allegations against the petitioners. It
is alleged that Sukhminder Singh @ Sundri firstly gave fist blow on nose of

the complainant and subsequently gave kirch blow on the complainant Amritpal Singh. It is further alleged that all the accused gave beatings to the complainant & Shingara Singh grand father of the complainant and Chanda Singh gave baseball blow. It is also alleged that the accused forcibly removed clothes of the complainant. Not only this, the video of the complainant was prepared when he was forced to run naked in the village street and the same was thereafter loaded on the internet. They also snatched the Samsung Mobile Phone 3770 bearing No.97812=39501 from the complainant and took Rs.400 from his lower.

The learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhchain Singh states that the CD has been prepared and had been supplied by the father of the complainant.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations and the fact that Mobile and money were also taken away from the complainant forcibly by the petitioners, recovery thereof from the petitioners is required to be effected in addition to the weapons of offence, further custodial interrogation is necessary.

It is further submitted by ASI Sukhchain Singh that petitioners have joined the investigation but they are not cooperating.

In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioners do not deserve indulgence of this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail to them. Resultantly, the present petitions are dismissed.

30.03.2015
prince

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge