

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-37795 of 2014

Date of decision: 16.02.2015

Pawan Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Viridi, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

RITU BAHRI, J.

This petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondent No.4, who is harassing the petitioner by making false and frivolous complaints.

Upon notice, reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in the shape of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ghanaur, has been filed, wherein it has been stated that on a complaint made by the petitioner to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala against respondent No.4, the Incharge, Economic Offence Wing, Patiala, conducted an enquiry, whereupon most of the complaints received against the petitioner were found to be false and they were declared innocent. The Incharge, Economic Offence Wing, Patiala, has recommended that before proceedings ahead against

respondent No.4, the opinion of the District Attorney (Legal) be sought. Consequently, proceedings under Section 182 IPC have been initiated against respondent No.4.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Angrej Singh, has informed the Court that aforesaid proceedings under Section 182 IPC have been approved by the District Attorney (Legal) and now the matter is pending approval before the ADA, Rajpura.

In view of the reply filed, no further orders are required to be passed in this petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

16.02.2015
ajp