

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 37792 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 19.01.2015

Dharminder Partap Rawat

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.S.P.Singh, DAG, Haryana.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for pre-rest bail filed in case bearing FIR No.115 dated 27.05.2014, under Section 406, 419, 420, 120-B, 467, 468, 417 IPC, registered at Police Station Kunjpura.

On 11.11.2014 the following order was passed:-

“ Learned counsel relies upon the order dated 13.10.2014 passed in CRM-M-33661-2014 titled as **Sunil Choudhry v. State of Haryana** to contend that similarly situated co-accused was granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion for 12.01.2015.

In the meantime, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed.”

Learned DAG has accepted the fact that the case of the

petitioner and aforesaid Sunil Choudhry is on identical footing.

In the circumstances the application is allowed.

In the event of his arrest, let the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the investigating officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc. Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

January 19, 2015
sunita