

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.37733 of 2014
Date of Decision: April 20, 2015**

Amandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Arora, Advocate
for the complainant.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.110 dated 25.09.2014, registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC, at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar.

On 19.12.2014, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the complainant submits that jewellery in the form of gold and diamond has not been returned so far, which amounts to more than 5 ½ lacs.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to get instructions regarding jewellery.

Learned counsel for the parties also submits that the matter can be settled/compromised in case, the parties are called.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for the parties, parties are directed to be present before Mediation and Conciliation Centre on 12.01.2015.

Interim order to continue”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the alleged recovery has been effected only with regard to jewellery articles. Earlier

as the parties were stated to be ready to settle the matter, the matter was sent to

Mediation & Conciliation Centre in the High Court, but the mediation has failed.

It appears from the averments in the petition that there was a defect in the legs of the complainant. To settle the daughter, her parents had given sufficient amount as dowry in the marriage.

As per the allegations in the FIR, on demand of the petitioner Rs.6 lacs were given to him by the parents of the complainant for going abroad. However he could not get the Visa. Thereafter, she became pregnant but lost her baby because she was given beatings by legs on the abdomen.

There are serious allegations against the petitioner. Some recovery is also yet to be effected. There is no ground to grant pre arrest bail to the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that police should proceed according to judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***“Armesh Kumar v. State of Bihar” 2014 (3), RCR (Crl), 527.*** The aforesaid judgment was widely circulated for compliance by all concerned. I am sure that the police authorities will proceed in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the above and the fact that petitioner was ready to settle the matter amicably, this Court is not inclined to extend any further relief which was granted by this Court

Dismissed.

20.04.2015
prince

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge