

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37577-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 06.11.2015

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Amarjit Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Dharampal.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.38 dated 17.02.2015, Sections 302, 148, 149, 323, 325, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Naraingarh, Distt. Ambala.

The learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. No recovery has been effected from him. He has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Rajbir. He is not involved in any other FIR.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the challan stands presented, charges framed

and out of twenty five prosecution witnesses, six have already been examined. He further informs that the complainant is yet to be examined.

Heard.

The petitioner is in custody since 17.03.2015. He is not involved in any other FIR. Only six out of twenty five prosecution witnesses have been examined, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

Accordingly, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

06.11.2015

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE