

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-37647 of 2014**

**Date of decision: 21.02.2015**

**Pardeep and another**

**...Petitioners**

**versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. S.S. Salar, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. AG., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**RITU BAHRI, J.**

Quashing of FIR No. 64 dated 09.03.2013, under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1), is sought on the basis of compromise dated 17.09.2013 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Sanjeev Kumar-respondent No.2 alleging that he had purchased a property measuring 175 Sq. yards, situated at village Bitna, HB No. 153, Tehsil Kalka, in his and in the name of his spouse. One Vivek Sharma had arranged this deal with his friend namely Pardeep, who was a property dealer. Process of registry and *intkal* (mutation) was completed in the month of September-October, 2011. Possession of the said property was also given to the complainant. On 14.07.2012, the complainant came to know that his neighbour had encroached upon his property and raised a boundary wall thereof. In this regard, a complaint was made to the police on 18.07.2012.

After completion of investigation, the complainant came to know that Vivek and Pardeep-petitioners had fraudulently given possession of Khasra No.125 to the complainant, whereas the sale deed had been executed regarding Khasra Nos. 145 and 146. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has now been amicably resolved between the the petitioners and respondent No.2-Sanjeev Kumar vide compromise deed dated 17.09.2013 (Annexure P-2). Pardeep Kumar-petitioner No.1 has returned the entire amount of sale consideration, except Rs.1 lac, which was to be paid at the time of recording of statement of the complainant.

In compliance with the order dated 05.11.2014 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kalka, has been received in this regard. As per report, Sajneev Kumar-respondent No.2 (complainant) made his statement on 20.02.2015 to the effect that he has compromised the matter with the accused (petitioners) and has no objection, if the above said FIR is quashed. Joint statement of Pardeep and Vivek Sharma-petitioners was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 64 dated 09.03.2013, under Sections

420/467/468/471/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(RITU BAHRI)**  
**JUDGE**

21.02.2015  
ajp