

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37612-2014

Date of decision : 09.02.2015

Jaswant Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL***

Present: Mr.Gautam Thapar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab.

**REKHA MITTAL, J.(ORAL)**

The petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.124 dated 09.09.2014, for offence under Sections 323, 324, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short "IPC") (Section 326 IPC added later) along with cross version for offence punishable under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506, 454 read with Section 34 IPC registered against respondents No.2 to 5 in Police Station Division No.5, District Jalandhar on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

The parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/ trial Court on 23.12.2014 to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

A report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, wherein it has been reported that statements of the petitioners and respondent No.2 to 5 have been recorded and the statements made by the parties in the Court reveal that they have voluntarily entered

into a compromise with an intention to live in peace and harmony.

Counsel for the State has not disputed correctness of assertions of the petitioners that the matter has been settled by way of compromise between the parties.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the case file.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 of the Code. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543* and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, the petition is allowed and FIR No.124 dated 09.09.2014, for offence under Sections 323, 324, 506 read with Section 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later) along with cross version for offence punishable under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506, 454 read with Section 34 IPC registered against respondents No.2 to 5 in Police Station Division No.5, District Jalandhar and proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners & respondents No.2 to 5.

**(REKHA MITTAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 09, 2015.**

DK