

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-40234 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.04.2015**

Saun Aggarwal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. R.S. Modi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurvir Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the injured and eye witness have since been examined and have not supported the prosecution case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant petition may be disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to decide the case expeditiously.

The instant petition is, therefore, disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to dispose of the trial expeditiously in accordance with law.

20.04.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge