IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-37371 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 15.12.2015

Reema Rani and another .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab .....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

****

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 3.11.2015, the petitioners have joined the investigation and have also been released on interim bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from HC Karam Singh has affirmed the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners but submits that the land in dispute has been sold twice by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that civil litigation is pending between the parties.

Since civil litigation is pending between the parties and the allegations are the matter of evidence, interim order passed by this Court on 3.11.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 15, 2015 **(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**
pooja **JUDGE**

POOJA SHARMA
2015.12.18 10:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
HIGH COURT CHANDIGARH