

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-37335 of 2015
Date of Decision: 31.10.2015

Ritu & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. HPS Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. R.S. Nain, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lalit Thakur, Advocate
for respondents no.4 and 5.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 CrPC is for issuance of directions to respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and they have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law, but respondents no.4 and 5 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they have

moved representation dated 29.10.2015 (Annexure P5) to respondents no.2-SSP, Sangrur.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S. Nain, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3

At this stage, Mr. Lalit Thakur, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents no. 4 and 5. He has filed the affidavits of Karam Chand - respondents no.4 and Nisha – respondent no. 5 in Court and states that the respondents no.4 and 5, who are parents of the girl, have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and there is no threat to the petitioners at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5. He further submits that in fact, respondents no.4 and 5 want to organize some social function on this marriage.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

In view of the above, without commenting upon the validity of the marriage but keeping in view the seriousness of the matter, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 – SSP, Sangrur to take appropriate action on the representation dated 29.10.2015 (Annexure P5) submitted by the petitioners, as warranted by law, so that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5.

October 31, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE