

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37394-2014

Date of decision: 20.10.2015

Balkar Singh and anr.

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and anr.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate for
Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Daljit Singh Virk, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Admittedly, petitioners have not availed the equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their alternative remedy of revision, at the first instance, with liberty to approach this Court again, if necessity arises.

It is also made clear that if the petitioners would approach the revisional Court against the impugned summoning order within a period of four weeks from today, complainant-respondent No.2 will not raise the issue of limitation.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

20.10.2015
vishnu