

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.37312 of 2015
Date of Decision : 02.11.2015**

Sunita

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the respondents to take appropriate action against the accused persons.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved the representation (Annexure P-2) to the respondent No.2 and at this stage she would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide her representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2-DGP,

Haryana is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-2) and in case it is found that any action is required to take whatever action he deems necessary as per law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 02, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**